

Family Name	James
Given Name	Nigel
Person ID	1285917
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	James
Given Name	Nigel
Person ID	1285917
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I have lived in the Mosley Common area for nearly 40 years and have already seen the massive impact that the new houses have had on the area - some good but mainly bad - in all this development time there haven't been any new schools or doctors created putting a major strain on the facilities. The traffic is horrendous now and it's a challenge just to get down sales lane to Mosley Common before trying to get anywhere else. I can honestly say that if 1100 more houses were to be built the whole infrastructure of the Mosley Common area would collapse. Let alone the environmental impact is nobody considering this at all - more cars, more car fumes, more sewage, more utilities being used and still no more public services - this is surely a joke and CANNOT happen. The bus lane buses are full as it stands and drive past us because of this on many occasions when trying to get to Leigh or Manchester.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	If it is to go ahead then more public services are required and are a MUST for the area.